Modelling stochastic biological systems

BAMC mini-symposium 2019

<u>Thursday PM</u>

- 1. Modelling stochastic biological systems C.A. Smith
- 2. Particle-based simulations of stochastic reaction-diffusion processes with Aboria M. Robinson
- Equilibration times within heterogeneous crowded environments D. Wilson
- 4. Stochastic amplification of oscillatory gene expression underlies cell differentiation during embryonic neurogenesis J. Kursawe

Friday AM

- 1. Homogenization approximations for advection-dominated solute transport in a spatially disordered domain G. Price
- 2. Modelling molecular diffusion in the intracellular environment R. Stana
- 3. Stochastic dynamics and regulation of filopodia-like structures U. Dobramysl

Modelling stochastic biological systems

British Applied Mathematics Colloquium 2019 Mini-symposium

Kit Yates, Enrico Gavagnin, Jennifer Owen, Cameron Smith



Centre for Mathematical Biology, University of Bath



Stochastic methods: movement







Stochastic methods: movement

	<u>On-lattice</u>	Off-lattice 参	
Advantages	Quick to simulate. All processes use the same algorithm.	Retention of individual particle paths. Knowledge of particle distributions.	
Disadvantages	Lose precise particle locations. Assumes well-mixed particles.	Can be very computationally expensive for large particle numbers.	
Simulation	Spatial Gillespie algorithm, [Gillespie, 1977].	Unbiased: Standard Brownian motion. Biased: Stochastic differential equations.	

D.T. Gillespie, Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions, J. Phys. Chem., 1977.

Stochastic methods: movement

- 1. Assign each jump event an
exponential waiting time.1. Draw an independent normal
variable with zero mean and 2
- 2. Calculate the first event to occur.
- 3. Enact the event with probability proportional to their rates. Simulation Simulation Gillespie, 1977].
- L. Draw an independent normal variable with zero mean and $2D\delta t$ variance for each dimension of space
- 2. Update each coordinate by adding this random variables to them. n, Unbiased: Standard Brownian motion. Biased: Stochastic differential equations.

D.T. Gillespie, Exact stochastic simulation of coupled chemical reactions, J. Phys. Chem., 1977.

The Yates group



Kit Yates – Senior Lecturer

c.yates@bath.ac.uk

Jennifer Owen – PhD student

e.gavagnin@bath.ac.uk

j.owen@bath.ac.uk

Cameron Smith – PhD Student

Enrico Gavagnin – PhD student

c.smith3@bath.ac.uk

Outline

Hybrid methods: "Spatially extended hybrid methods: a review" – C.A. Smith and C.A. Yates, 2018.

On-lattice domain growth: "From microscopic to macroscopic descriptions of cell migration on growing domains" – R.E. Baker, C.A. Yates & R. Erban, 2010.

Zebrafish pigment patterns: "A quantitative modelling approach to zebrafish pigment pattern formation" – J.P. Owen, R.N. Kelsh and C.A. Yates, 2019.

Cell migration models: "The invasion speed of cell migration models with realistic cell cycle time distributions " – Gavagnin *et al.,* 2018.













C.A. Smith & C.A. Yates, Spatially extended hybrid methods: a review. J. Royal Soc. Interface, 2018.

Reaction-diffusion systems



H.G. Othmer and A. Stevens, Aggregation, blowup, and collapse: the ABC's of taxis in reinforced random walks, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 1997. R. Erban and S.J. Chapman, Stochastic modelling of reaction-diffusion processes: algorithms for bimolecular reactions, *Phys. Biol.*, 2009.

Summary of models



Spatially extended hybrid methods



C.A. Smith & C.A. Yates, The auxiliary region method: A hybrid method for coupling PDE- and Brownian-based dynamics for reaction-diffusion systems, *Royal Soc. Open Sci.*, 2018.



Morphogen gradient $\left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=-1} = -\lambda \text{ and } \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=1} = 0$





 $\begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 u - \mu u \\ & \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \Big|_{x=-1} = -\lambda \text{ and } \left. \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right|_{x=1} = 0 \\ & u(x,0) = 250 \ \forall x \in [-1,1] \end{aligned}$





Morphogen gradient

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2 u - \mu u$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\Big|_{x=-1} = -\lambda \text{ and } \left.\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right|_{x=1} = 0$$

 $u(x,0) = 250 \ \forall x \in [-1,1]$



Summary: Hybrid methods





To utilise the strengths and weaknesses of different modelling techniques.



ARM: Off-lattice to PDE coupling. Auxiliary regions use on-lattice approach.

Conclusions

Combining different modelling paradigms leads to accurate and efficient modelling.





R.E. Baker, C.A. Yates & R. Erban, From microscopic to macroscopic descriptions of cell migration on growing domains, *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 2010.

Domain growth in biology





B. Alberts *et al.*, Molecular biology of the cell: reference edition, *Garland Science*, 2007.

On-lattice domain growth





Large diffusion





Small diffusion





C.A. Smith, C. Mailler & C.A. Yates, Unbiased on-lattice domain growth, *arXiv: 1904.00662*, 2019.























Summary: On-lattice domain growth



Aim



On-lattice with two domain growth mechanisms.

To create an unbiased on-lattice domain growth

method.

Conclusions

The choice of domain growth mechanism is important, especially when diffusion is low.





Zebrafish pigment patterns



J.P. Owen, R.N. Kelsh, C.A. Yates, A quantitative modelling approach to zebrafish pigment pattern formation, *In preparation*, 2019.

Zebrafish patterns









Adult zebrafish

shady mutant missing iridophores













Xanthophores and Xanthoblasts









The model: example event



Results: wild type

20 xtb 10 x0 1V x1V 2V x1V 2V x2V	PB ~ 21dpf WT
$M \begin{bmatrix} I^d & X \\ I^l & X^b \end{bmatrix}$	

Results: *shady* mutant

		PB ~ 21dof Sbd	
$M \times X \\ \times X^{b}$	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		1

Summary: Zebrafish pigment patterns







To use biologically observed or experimentally hypothesised rules to explain zebrafish pigment pattern formation.

Five species, on lattice model on a growing domain with fifteen interaction events.

Conclusions

Iridiphores are an important cell type for the formation of the characteristic zebrafish pattern.





E. Gavagnin et al., The invasion speed of cell migration models with realistic cell cycle time distributions, J. Theor. Biol., 2018.





C.A. Yates, M.J. Ford & R.L. Mort, A multi-stage representation of cell proliferation as a Markov process, *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 2017.





 $T_i \sim \operatorname{Exp}(\lambda_i)$

Exponentially-modified ErlangErlang $\lambda_i = \lambda, \ i \in \{1, ..., N-1\}$ $\lambda_i = \lambda, \ i \in \{1, ..., N\}$ $\lambda_N = \alpha, \ \alpha \neq \lambda$ $\lambda_i = \lambda, \ i \in \{1, ..., N\}$



C.A. Yates, M.J. Ford & R.L. Mort, A multi-stage representation of cell proliferation as a Markov process, *Bull. Math. Biol.*, 2017.

The model



Volume exclusion.

Split cell cycle into *N* stages.

Proliferation only occurs at the final stage.



Summary: Cell migration models







To determine how a realistic cell cycle distribution effects dynamics.

On-lattice cell invasion model with different cell cycle distributions.

Conclusions

The cell cycle distribution is important in determining invasion speed.

And finally...



Reminder – Part 2 of this mini-symposium takes place tomorrow: CB 4.16 from 11:00 – 12:30

Thank you for your attention.

I am supported by a scholarship from the EPSRC Centre for Doctoral Training in Statistical Applied Mathematics at Bath (SAMBa), under the project EP/L015684/1.





Get in touch:





https://people.bath.ac.uk/cs640/